## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA CHARLOTTE DIVISION 3:18-CR-00288-RJC-DSC

USA	)	
	)	
v.	)	<u>ORDER</u>
TAMARLO DENNELL HALL	)	
	)	
	)	

**THIS MATTER** is before the Court upon motion of the defendant pro se for jail credit. (Doc. No. 49).

According to the motion, the defendant seeks credit for time spent in state custody, for good time in federal custody, and for completing programs in prison. It is the responsibility of the Attorney General, through the Bureau of Prisons, to compute jail credit. <u>United States v. Stroud</u>, 584 F. App'x 159, 160 (4th Cir. 2014) (citing <u>United States v. Wilson</u>, 503 U.S. 329, 334-35 (1992)). If a defendant is not given the sentencing credit he thinks he deserves, his recourse is first to seek an administrative remedy, 28 C.F.R. § 542.10, and after that to file a petition under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 in the district of confinement. Id.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, that the defendant's pro se motion to receive jail credit (Doc. No. 49) is **DENIED**.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The defendant is serving his sentence at FCI-Edgefield in the District of South Carolina.

The Clerk is directed to certify copies of this order to the defendant, counsel for the defendant, to the United States Attorney, the United States Marshals Service, and the United States Probation Office.

Signed: March 7, 2022

Robert J. Conrad, Jr.

United States District Judge